

Lec 16

Thursday, November 7, 2019 11:10

Recap: Augmenting the data
 $x \mapsto \phi(x)$

& then plug in to SVC
 called this "augmented SVC"

$$\min_{\beta, \beta_0} \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0 \quad \xi_i \geq 1 - \gamma_i (\beta^T \phi(x_i) + \beta_0)$$

Last time rephrased as:

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n, \beta_0} \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T \underline{K} \alpha + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0 \quad \xi_i \geq 1 - \gamma_i (\underline{K}_i^T \alpha + \beta_0)$$

Where $\underline{K}_{ij} = \underbrace{\phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)}_{k(x_i, x_j) \text{ kernel fn}}$

Conclusion: the augmented SVC only depends on the ^{example} feature data via the kernel Gram matrix \underline{K}

Idea: Instead of defining ϕ ,

define kernel k

Ex: Linear kernel: $k(x, x') = x^T x' \rightarrow$ gives the original un-augmented data

Ex: Polynomial kernel

$$\varphi(x) = x$$

SVC augmented

First consider $p=1$

$$k(x, x') = (1 + x \cdot x')^d$$

E.g. for $d=3$

$$k(x, x') = 1 + 3x \cdot x' + 3(x^2)(x'^2) + (x)^3(x')^3$$

Q: What φ gives $k(x, x') = \varphi(x)^\top \varphi(x')$?

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{3}x \\ \sqrt{3}x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

For general d :

$$k(x, x') = 1 + d x \cdot x' + \dots + d(x \cdot x')^{d-1} + (x \cdot x')^d$$

$$\varphi(x) = (1, \sqrt{d}x, \dots, \sqrt{d}x^{d-1}, x^d)$$

For general d, p :

$$k(x, x') = (1 + x^\top x')^d$$

$\varphi(x)$ = vector of all monomials of (x_1, \dots, x_p) of degree $\leq d$

e.g. $x_1 x_2 x_3^2$

monomial of degree 4

Let's get crazy:

$$k(x, x') = \exp(-\|x - x'\|_2^2 / \sigma^2)$$

Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel

Corresponding φ sends x to the space

of functions (infinite dim)

We can even do this with unstructured data.

e.g. $x = \text{string of "A, C, G, T"}$

$$\phi(x) = \text{[unstructured data representation]}$$

$$\text{s.t. } k(x, x') = e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\phi(x) - \phi(x')\|^2}$$

Note: Not everything is a kernel

Need: (1) $k(x, x') = k(x', x)$ symmetric

$$(2) k(x, x') \geq 0$$

(3) PSD

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n$$

$$\bar{K}_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j) \Rightarrow \bar{K} \text{ is PSD}$$

If we have (1)-(3) then $\exists \phi$

$$\text{s.t. } k(x, x') = \phi(x)^\top \phi(x')$$

Key insight: don't even need to know ϕ

Kernel trick generalizes to other problems

Kernel Ridge Regression

Recall ridge regression

$$\min_{\beta, \beta_0} \sum_i (y_i - \beta^\top x_i - \beta_0)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{augment}} \sum_i (y_i - \beta^\top \phi(x_i) - \beta_0)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2$$

→ similar arguments as before
 (representer theorem + kernel trick)
 yield that this also just
 depends on the data
 via the kernel matrix

Kernel PCA

Recall PCA

Amounts to finding the eigen decomp of

$$[X \bar{X}^T]_{ij} = x_i^T x_j$$

$X \xrightarrow{\text{linear mapping}}$ lower dim space
 that explains
 most of x 's variance

kernelize this

$X \xrightarrow{\phi}$ hi-dim (possibly ∞ -dim) Space $\xrightarrow{\text{linear projection}}$ low-dim space
 that explains
 most of
 variance in \mathcal{X}

non linear mapping into low-dim space
 that explains most of x 's variance

Apply eigen decomp to the kernel gram

matrix $\underline{K}_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$

Centered version: apply the eigen decomp

on the centered kernel gram matrix

$$\mathbb{K}^c = \mathbb{K} - \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n \times n}}{n} \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{K} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n \times n}}{n} + \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n \times n}}{n} \mathbb{K} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n \times n}}{n}$$

\leftarrow $n \times n$ matrix of all 1's

Linear PCA: find the q linear fns s.t.

$$z = (u_1^T x, u_2^T x, \dots, u_q^T x) \leftarrow \text{PC's}$$

explains the most variance

Kernel PCA: find the q fns $f_j \in \mathcal{H} = \text{span}(\{K(x, \cdot) : x \in \mathcal{X}\})$ s.t.

$$z = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_q(x)) \leftarrow \text{kernel PC's}$$

explains the most ~~the~~ variance

Neural Networks

The "Vanilla" neural network

Add an "embedding step" to the linear model

$$X \longrightarrow z = \phi(x) \longrightarrow \hat{f}(x) = \beta^T z$$

\uparrow
big diff for NN:
also learn ϕ

What if $z \in \mathbb{R}^q$ $z = Ax$ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times p}$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \beta^T z(x) = \beta^T A x = (A^T \beta)^T x$$

Still a linear model

So the NN approach:

apply an activation/nonlinearity.

$$z_j = \sigma(A_j^T x) \quad \text{where } \sigma \text{ is nonlinear$$

e.g. $\sigma(u) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-u}}$

Now $\beta^T z(x)$ is not linear in x